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Preface
Preface

Several previous studies and reports serve as the background for this report on state greenhouse gas (GHG) trends and projections. In 1989, by concurrent resolution, the Missouri legislature established the Commission on Global Climate Change and Ozone Depletion. The Commission compiled information on sources of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the state, analyzed possible local scenarios of climate change and identified adverse consequences for the state should climate change occur.¹

The Missouri Commission listed adaptation measures as well as policy options to "reduce our contribution to global climate change." The Commission advocated an approach that emphasizes policies with benefits in addition to their impact on greenhouse gas emissions. The Commission stated that:

"...each option in this report [is proposed] because good environmental stewardship and energy efficiency will make Missouri stronger economically, improve our flexibility in the face of uncertain international energy markets, and fulfill our environmental responsibilities. These benefits prevail regardless of whether Missouri experiences substantial or subtle climate changes."²

A similar approach to climate change policy was advocated in a study by a Missouri Department of Natural Resources Institute sponsored by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources in 1992.³ The Institute’s study recommended focusing on “no-regrets” policies that had concomitant economic and environmental benefits.

In 1996, the Division of Energy, Department of Natural Resources published an Inventory of Missouri’s Estimated Greenhouse Gas Emissions in 1990. The report, funded in part through a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), provided a more detailed analysis of emissions sources than that published by the Missouri Commission.

This technical report on trends and projections was funded in part by a second grant from USEPA to the Division of Energy. The estimates and projections in this study provide a baseline estimate for the purpose of monitoring changes in the state’s greenhouse gas and guiding the formulation of policies to reduce the state’s greenhouse gas emissions. The Division of Energy will also produce a second report under the grant. The second report will identify state policies and action options to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in Missouri and will analyze the economic and environmental benefits and costs of these options.

Chapter 1 updates previous estimates of Missouri’s 1990 baseline GHG emissions. The report focuses on four greenhouse gases in Missouri - carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and perfluorocabons (PFCs). Anthropogenic emissions of these four gases equaled approximately 148 Million Tons Carbon Dioxide Equivalent (MTCD)⁴ in 1990. About 75 percent of these emissions (111 million tons) were CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel use.

⁴ The concept of carbon dioxide equivalent units is explained in Chapter 1.

iii
Chapter 1 also provides an overview of the report's estimates of state GHG trends (1990 to 1996) and projections (1996 to 2015). The report estimates that between 1990 and 1996, gross emissions of the four greenhouse gases from all sources increased by about 20 million tons, from about 148 million tons (MTCDE) to 168 million tons (MTCDE).

Trends in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion are analyzed in Chapter 2, and trends in GHG emissions from other sources are analyzed in Chapter 5. Chapter 2 finds that CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion increased by about 22 million tons between 1990 and 1996. In 1996, about 80% of gross GHG emissions (133 million tons) were CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel use. Chapter 5 finds that methane emissions increased by nearly 2 million tons MTCDE between 1990 and 1996, but this increase in methane emissions was more than offset by a decrease in PFC emissions of nearly 4 million tons MTCDE.

Rather than provide a single estimate for future GHG emissions, the report provides a range of estimates based on different projection methods and scenarios. All projections assume "business as usual," that is, no new policies to limit or reduce GHG emissions.

Chapter 3 projects future CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion by utilities and Chapter 4 projects CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion in other sectors. The resulting projections for gross CO₂ emissions from energy use in 2015 range from 154 to 170 million tons, with several projections falling into a midrange estimate of 161-163 million tons MTCDE.

Chapter 5 estimates GHG emissions from a variety of sources other than energy use. The chapter estimates that GHG emissions from some sources will decrease and others will increase between 1996 and 2015, but the total will be about the same in 2015 as in 1996, 34 to 35 million tons MTCDE.

The estimates in Chapters 2 through 5 all deal with gross GHG emissions from energy use and other sources. Chapter 6 provides estimates of net GHG emissions. The estimate of net emissions takes into account the effect of biomass growth in Missouri’s forests, which cover nearly a third of the state, as well as land use changes. As explained in Chapter 6, the net effect of these factors in 1990 was to sequester about 20 million tons of carbon dioxide. Chapter 6 projects that annual carbon sequestration will decline over time, but discusses possible errors in the estimate due to limitations in the way that available models for estimating net sequestration deal with increased removal of forest products.

The analysis was completed by staff of the Division of Energy, Missouri Department of Natural Resources. Trend estimates were calculated in accordance with methodology specified in the State Workbook: Methodologies for Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions, published by the State and Local Outreach Program of USEPA’s Climate Change Division. USEPA and the Energy Information Administration (EIA) of the U.S. Department of Energy have taken a lead role in developing methodology and sponsoring research on U.S. emissions and have published national emissions inventories. Staff in both agencies provided invaluable technical assistance to this project.

The State and Local Outreach Program has provided funding for greenhouse gas inventories by a number of states, including Missouri. Because these state inventories are based on 1990 data and use State Workbook methodology, it is possible to compare their results.
The estimation procedures used in the Missouri inventory, other state inventories and national inventories published by USEPA and EIA are based on an international research effort. The international effort has involved numerous U.S. researchers and has evolved during the past decade under the auspices of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). U.S. researchers have also been involved in this effort through U.S. national commitments under the Framework Convention on Climate Change.

---

5 In 1988, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was jointly established by the United Nations Environment Program and the World Meteorological Organization in order to assess scientific information related to climate change issues. Under IPCC auspices, technical experts from over 50 countries and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development developed methods for estimating emissions and uptake of greenhouse gases, culminating publication of the *IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Vol. 1-3* (IPCC, 1994).
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